Filed 3/15/12 by Clerk of Supreme Court IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

2012 NI) 49
In the Interest of I.D., a child	
State of North Dakota,	Petitioner and Appellee
v.	
I.D., C.L., and M.D.,	Respondents
M.D. and I.D.,	Appellants
No. 2011	0275

Appeal from the Juvenile Court of Barnes County, Southeast Judicial District, the Honorable Jay A. Schmitz, Judge.

AFFIRMED.

Per Curiam.

Bradley A. Cruff (argued), Assistant State's Attorney, Courthouse, 230 4th Street NW, Room 301, Valley City, ND 58072, for petitioner and appellee.

M.D. (argued), self-represented, and I.D. (appeared), 9877 County Road 28, Wimbledon, ND 58492, appellants.

Interest of I.D. No. 20110275

Per Curiam.

- [¶1] M.D. appeals from a juvenile court order adjudicating his child, I.D., a delinquent child. On appeal, M.D. argues the juvenile court should have granted his motion to suppress evidence because I.D. was in custody during police questioning, I.D. was not advised of his rights, and M.D. was not notified of the questioning.
- [¶2] "If an appeal is taken in a case in which an evidentiary hearing was held, the appellant must order a transcript of the proceedings" N.D.R.App.P. 10(b)(1). M.D. failed to order transcripts, without which we cannot review the evidence before the juvenile court. "We have warned that an appellant 'assumes the consequences and the risk for the failure to file a complete transcript." In re A.R., 2000 ND 130, ¶ 5, 612 N.W.2d 569 (quoting City of Fargo v. Erickson, 1999 ND 145, ¶ 16, 598 N.W.2d 787 (citation omitted)). In the absence of a transcript, we find nothing in the record to contradict the juvenile court's findings, and we summarily affirm under N.D.R.App.P. 35.1(a)(2).
- [¶3] Gerald W. VandeWalle, C.J. Carol Ronning Kapsner Dale V. Sandstrom Daniel J. Crothers Mary Muehlen Maring